



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/612,592	07/01/2003	William W. Macy JR.	P15764	6169

Peter Lam
BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN LLP
Seventh Floor
12400 Wilshire Boulevard
Los Angeles, CA 90025

7590 10/02/2007

EXAMINER

MALZAHN, DAVID H

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2193

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

10/02/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Commissioner for Patents
United States Patent and Trademark Office
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

**BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
AND INTERFERENCES**

MAILED

OCT 02 2007

Technology Center 2100

Application Number: 10/612,592
Filing Date: July 01, 2003
Appellant(s): MACY ET AL.

Lawrence M. Mennemeier
For Appellant

EXAMINER'S ANSWER

This is in response to the appeal brief filed 27 August 2007 appealing from the Office action
mailed 26 March 2007.

(1) Real Party in Interest

A statement identifying by name the real party in interest is contained in the brief.

(2) Related Appeals and Interferences

The examiner is not aware of any related appeals, interferences, or judicial proceedings which will directly affect or be directly affected by or have a bearing on the Board's decision in the pending appeal.

(3) Status of Claims

The statement of the status of claims contained in the brief is incorrect. A correct statement of the status of the claims is as follows:

This appeal involves claims 20-27.

Claims 1-19 and 28-40 are allowed.

(4) Status of Amendments After Final

The appellant's statement of the status of amendments after final rejection contained in the brief is correct.

(5) Summary of Claimed Subject Matter

The summary of claimed subject matter contained in the brief is correct.

(6) Grounds of Rejection to be Reviewed on Appeal

The appellant's statement of the grounds of rejection to be reviewed on appeal is correct.

WITHDRAWN REJECTIONS

The following grounds of rejection are not presented for review on appeal because they have been withdrawn by the examiner. The outstanding rejection of claims 1-19 and 28-34 under 35 USC 101 is hereby withdrawn.

(7) Claims Appendix

The copy of the appealed claims contained in the Appendix to the brief is correct.

(8) Evidence Relied Upon

No evidence is relied upon by the examiner in the rejection of the claims under appeal.

(9) Grounds of Rejection

The following ground(s) of rejection are applicable to the appealed claims:

Claims 20-27 stand rejected under 35 USC 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The preamble of claim 20 calls for “An article comprising a tangible machine readable medium that stores a program, said program being executable by a machine to perform a method comprising”. The preamble is followed by three steps. Paragraphs 0034 and 0035 of the specification define the “machine readable medium” as including a transmission medium which may be a carrier wave. The word “tangible” does not appear in the specification so going to a dictionary to determine the standard or common meaning results in a definition of “capable of being perceived especially by the sense of touch” according the On Line Merriam-Webster dictionary for the adjective “tangible”. A carrier wave is clearly capable of being perceived. Since the claims read on a transmission medium which may be carrier waves the claims read on a form of energy which fails to fall within any of the statutory categories of invention, i.e. the claims are neither process, machine, manufacture or composition of matter.

Art Unit: 2193

(10) Response to Argument


Appellants state, last full paragraph of page 10 of the Brief, that the instant claims are directed to statutory processes, articles and apparatus but fail to support this conclusionary statement.

(11) Related Proceeding(s) Appendix

No decision rendered by a court or the Board is identified by the examiner in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of this examiner's answer.

For the above reasons, it is believed that the rejections should be sustained.

Respectfully submitted,



D. H. Malzahn

Conferees:

Meng An


MENG-AN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Eddie Lee


EDDIE C. LEE
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER